The Honorable Tana Lin 1 2 3 4 5 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 Aesthetic Eye Associates, P.S., No. 2:22-cv-00773-TL 10 AGREEMENT REGARDING Plaintiff, 11 STORED INFORMATION 12 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Alderwood Surgical Center, LLC; Northwest Nasal Sinus Center P.S., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Alderwood Surgical Center, LLC; Northwest Nasal Sinus Center P.S., 16 Counter-Plaintiffs, 17 v. 18 Aesthetic Eye Associates, P.S., 19 Counter-Defendant. 20 The parties hereby stipulate to the following provisions regarding the discovery of 21 electronically stored information ("ESI") in this matter. 22 23 AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY 24 STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER PAGE - 1 (2:22-cv-00773-TL) 25 505925153.1

A. General Principles

- 1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and contributes to the risk of sanctions.
- 2. As provided in LCR 26(f), the proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) must be applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

B. ESI Disclosures

Within 30 days of entry of this Order, or such other mutually agreed upon date by the parties, each party shall disclose to the other, the following information in accordance with this agreement:

- 1. <u>Custodians.</u> The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to the instant litigation, and the type of the information under the custodian's control.
- 2. <u>Non-custodial Data Sources.</u> A list of non-custodial data sources (*e.g.*, shared drives, servers), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI. These lists shall identify the databases that are likely to contain discoverable structured data.
- 3. <u>Third-Party Data Sources.</u> A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (*e.g.*, third-party email providers, mobile device providers, cloud storage) and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not) able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (2:22-cv-00773-TL)

PAGE - 2

²³ || \$05925153.1

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
	_	

4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).

C. **ESI Discovery Procedures**

- 1. On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be required absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.
- 2. Search methodology. The parties shall timely confer to attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms and queries, file type and date restrictions, data sources (including custodians), and other appropriate computer- or technology-aided methodologies, before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search methodology.
 - Prior to running searches: a.
- The producing party shall disclose the data sources (including custodians), search terms and queries, any file type and date restrictions, and any other methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain responsive and discoverable information. The producing party may provide unique hit counts for each search query.
- ii. The requesting party is entitled to, within 14 days of the producing party's disclosure, add no more than 10 search terms or queries to those disclosed by the producing party absent a showing of good cause or agreement of the parties.

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (2:22-cv-00773-TL) 505925153.1

PAGE - 3

iii. The following provisions apply to search terms / queries of the
requesting party. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries, such
as product and company names, generally should be avoided. A conjunctive combination of
multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer" and "system") narrows the search and shall count as
a single search term. A disjunctive combination of multiple words or phrases (e.g., "computer"
or "system") broadens the search, and thus each word or phrase shall count as a separate search
term unless they are variants of the same word. The producing party may identify each search
term or query returning overbroad results demonstrating the overbroad results and a counter
proposal correcting the overbroad search or query.

- b. After production: Within 21 days of the producing party notifying the receiving party that it has substantially completed the production of documents responsive to a request, the responding party may request no more than 10 additional search terms or queries. The immediately preceding section (Section C(2)(a)(iii)) applies.
- c. Upon reasonable request, a party shall disclose information relating to network design, the types of databases, database dictionaries, the access control list and security access logs and rights of individuals to access the system and specific files and applications, the ESI document retention policy, organizational chart for information systems personnel, or the backup and systems recovery routines, including, but not limited to, tape rotation and destruction/overwrite policy.

3. Format.

a. ESI will be produced to the requesting party with searchable text, in a format to be decided between the parties. Acceptable formats include, but are not limited to, native files, multi-page TIFFs (with a companion OCR or extracted text file), single-page TIFFs (only

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (2:22-cv-00773-TL)

PAGE - 4

25 (2:22-cv-007) 505925153.1

- b. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database, and drawing files, will be produced in native format.
- c. Each document image file shall be named with a unique number (Bates Number). File names should not be more than twenty characters long or contain spaces. When a text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the underlying ESI, *i.e.*, the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable, the revision history.
- d. If a document is more than one page, the unitization of the document and any attachments and/or affixed notes shall be maintained as they existed in the original document.
- e. The parties shall produce their information in the following format: single-page images and associated multi-page text files containing extracted text or with appropriate software load files containing all information required by the litigation support system used by the receiving party.
- f. The full text of each electronic document shall be extracted ("Extracted Text") and produced in a text file. The Extracted Text shall be provided in searchable ASCII text format (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language) and shall be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g., the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (2:22-cv-00773-TL)

PAGE - 5

505925153.1

and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party, and the duplicate custodian

information removed during the de-duplication process tracked in a duplicate/other custodian

threads and need only produce the unique most inclusive copy and related family members and

may exclude lesser inclusive copies. Upon reasonable request, the producing party will produce

the following metadata fields need be produced, and only to the extent it is reasonably accessible

and non-privileged: first and last bates number; the name of any attachment; the number of

attached items; the first bates number of any attachment; the last bates number of any attachment;

document type; custodian and duplicate custodians (or storage location if no custodian);

author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; email subject; file name; file size; file

extension; original file path; file path for documents provided in native format only; date and time

created, sent, modified and/or received; page count; protective order designation; designation of

redaction; and hash value. The list of metadata type is intended to be flexible and may be changed

by agreement of the parties, particularly in light of advances and changes in technology, vendor,

De-duplication. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across custodial

Email Threading. The parties may use analytics technology to identify email

Metadata fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that only

1 2 3

4.

5.

a less inclusive copy.

6.

field in the database load file.

4

5

- 6
- 7 | 8 |
- 9
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 20

19

21

2223

24

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (2:22-cv-00773-TL)

and business practices.

26

PAGE - 6

7. Hard-Copy Documents. If the parties elect to produce hard-copy documents in an electronic format, the production of hard-copy documents will include a cross-reference file that indicates document breaks and sets forth the custodian or custodian/location associated with each produced document. Hard-copy documents will be scanned using Optical Character Recognition technology and searchable ASCII text files will be produced (or Unicode text format if the text is in a foreign language), unless the producing party can show that the cost would outweigh the usefulness of scanning (for example, when the condition of the paper is not conducive to scanning and will not result in accurate or reasonably useable/searchable ESI). Each file will be named with a unique Bates Number (e.g., the unique Bates Number of the first page of the corresponding production version of the document followed by its file extension).

D. Preservation of ESI

The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation, as expressed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(e), to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

- 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their possession, custody, or control.
- 2. The parties will supplement their disclosures in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) with discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that data is located, discovered or created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under Sections (D)(3) or (E)(1)-(2)).

AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER (2:22-cv-00773-TL)

PAGE - 7

505925153.1

Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories

1

26

3.

Civil Procedure. Privilege logs will be produced to all other parties no later than 30 days before the deadline for filing motions related to discovery unless an earlier deadline is agreed to by the parties.

- 2. Redactions need not be logged so long as the basis for the redaction is clear on the redacted document.
- 3. With respect to privileged or work-product information directly and solely related to the parties' dispute generated after January 27, 2021, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs. Privileged or word-product information generated in other disputes are required to be included in privilege logs.
- 4. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).
- 5. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of any documents in this proceeding shall not, for the purposes of this proceeding or any other federal or state proceeding, constitute a waiver by the producing party of any privilege applicable to those documents, including the attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product protection, or any other privilege or protection recognized by law. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not constitute a waiver of such protection.

DATED: March 23, 2023

21

22

K&L GATES LLP

PERKINS COIE LLP

23

24

By: /s/ William C. Rava By: /s/ Pam K. Jacobson AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

PAGE - 9

(2:22-cv-00773-TL) 25

505925153.1

Case 2:22-cv-00773-TL Document 43 Filed 03/24/23 Page 10 of 10

1	Pam K. Jacobson, WSBA No. 31810	William C. Rava, WSBA No. 29948	3
2	925 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2900	Caleb C. Bacos, WSBA No. 58407	
2	Seattle, Washington 98104-1158 Phone: (206) 623-7580	1201 3rd Ave., Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101	
3	pam.jacobson@klgates.com	Phone: (206) 359-8000	
	punigueoson@mguesieom	wrava@perkinscoie.com	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant	cbacos@perkinscoie.com	
	Aesthetic Eye Associates, P.S.		
5		Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-F	
6		Alderwood Surgical Center, LLC ar Northwest Nasal Sinus Center P.S.	ıa
		Northwest Nasar Sinus Center 1.5.	
7			
	OI	RDER	
8	Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO OR	DERED.	
9			
	Dated this 24th day of March 20)23	
10	Buted this 2 thi day of March 20	,23.	
		Jana Ja.	
11		John I	
12		Tana Lin	
		United States District Judge	
13			
14			
14			
15			
16			
17			
1 /			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	AGREEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY OF ELEC		DACE 10
,	STORED INFORMATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDE (2:22-cv-00773-TL)	IK.	PAGE - 10
25	505925153 1		